## Framework

### 1NC

#### The affirmative should defend the desirability of the hypothetical enactment of the resolution.

#### The United States should means the debate should center around the government normatively acting.

Jon M Ericson 3, Dean Emeritus of the College of Liberal Arts – California Polytechnic U., et al., The Debater’s Guide, Third Edition, p. 4

The Proposition of Policy: Urging Future Action In policy propositions, each topic contains

AND

compelling reasons for an audience to perform the future action that you propose.

#### ‘Resolved’ means to enact a policy by law.

Words and Phrases 64. Permanent Edition.

Definition of the word “resolve,” given by Webster is “to express an

AND

,” which is defined by Bouvier as meaning “to establish by law”.

#### The “core” antitrust statutes are the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and FTC Act

Lisa Kimmel 20, Senior Counsel at Crowell & Moring, LLP in Washington, D.C., twenty years of experience as an antitrust lawyer and holds a Ph.D. in economics from the University of California at Berkeley; and Eric Fanchiang, associate in Crowell & Moring’s Irvine, CA office and a member of the firm’s antitrust and commercial litigation groups, 2020, “Antitrust and Intellectual Property Licensing,” in 2020 Licensing Update, Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S., https://www.crowell.com/files/20200401-Licensing-Update-Chapter-13.pdf

U.S. antitrust law is defined by federal and state statutes, as

AND

can also typically file suit to enforce both federal and state antitrust law.

#### Vote negative for procedural fairness—debate is first and foremost a game revolving around wins and losses and getting the ballot. Debates are won by inches and not miles—this means that fairness must be a prerequisite to any other part of your decision calculus. Procedural fairness matters for our ability to play the game that we all spend so much of our lives on. Rigging debate in favor of one side by allowing them to skirt the topic makes the activity meaningless AND ruins pre-round and pre-tournament prep.

#### They tacitly agree with this argument—they adhere to speech times, cross-x, they don’t interrupt our speeches, they expect a win/loss at the end of the debate, etc.—all of which proves that their decision to sidestep the topic is done for a competitive advantage and nothing more.

#### Additionally, they make it impossible to decide “who did the better debating” because any argument you think they are winning can’t be separated from the fact they are winning it because of our inability to contest it.

#### Fairness is the only argument that your ballot can resolve because voting negative is a structural remedy for our impact BUT voting affirmative doesn’t solve any of the problems that they isolate. Only through procedural fairness can we have debates that allow us to cultivate research and advocacy skills, otherwise we have to restart every debate with a new concept of how debate works.

#### This is not an argument about style or content but rather about form. They are allowed to read whatever arguments they want as long as they defend an instrumental implementation of a topical policy action. All of their criticisms of this interpretation are solved by reading it on the neg and switch side debate. Independently, they could read the same 1AC and just lose.

## Kase

### Presumption

#### Presumption—

#### A. There’s no reason the ballot is intrinsic to their performance, so you can agree with their Aff and vote neg. The pedagogical practices of the Aff are already being done in and outside of debate, so there’s no reason the Aff is key.

#### B. There’s no brightline to determine Aff solvency or what the Aff does by the end of the debate, then you should vote negative on presumption.

### The Alt

#### They can’t explain what the alt actually looks like. Patent law can be reformed but the market cannot.

### AT: Black Mathematics

#### Black mathematics produces artificial divides that turns the case.

Shulman 20 – professor at the Gallatin School of Individualized Study at New York University and the author of Radicalism and Reverence: The Political Thought of Gerrard Winstanley and American Prophecy: Race and Redemption in American Political Culture.  (George, “Fred Moten’s Refusals and Consents: The Politics of Fugitivity.” Political Theory, July 2020, doi:10.1177/0090591720937375.)// gcd

Though Wolin’s awareness of racial inequality appears in repeated associations of democratic moments and social

AND

foreclosing it reifies the impasse he generatively transvalues in so many other ways.

#### Retreating into the undercommons is bad – Remains trapped within and against which eviscerates its subversive and emancipatory potential

Love 15—Associate Professor at the University of Pennsylvania [Heather, ““Doing Being Deviant: Deviance Studies, Description, and the Queer Ordinary,” *differences* Vol. 26, No. 1, p. 89-91]

Today, queer studies—prestigious but unevenly institutionalized— still

AND

deny our own implication in existing structures is also a form of violence.

### AT: Surveillance

**The affirmative’s method to solve the surveillance of “black bodies” overquantifies blackness as suffering – that maintains biometric surveillance and re-entrenches the black as non-human within non-black imaginaries**

McKittrick 16 (Katherine, Queen’s University, Canada, “Diachronic loops/deadweight tonnage/bad made measure,” cultural geographies 2016, Vol. 23(1) 3– 18)

Part 4: learning from The Zong This is, in part, then,

AND

, encounter, radical disobedient black studies, and anti-colonial thinking.

#### Counter-Surveillance fails – it merely creates spectacular politics for further anti-black consumption – it just feeds the desire for spectacles of abuse

Beutin 17 (Beutin, Lyndsey P. 2017. Racialization as a Way of Seeing: The Limits of Counter-Surveillance and Police Reform. Surveillance & Society 15(1): 5-20. http://library.queensu.ca/ojs/index.php/surveillance-and-society/index| ISSN: 1477-7487 “Racialization as a Way of Seeing: The Limits of Counter-Surveillance and Police Reform” Lyndsey P. Beutin Annenberg School of Communication, University of Pennsylvania, US, accessed 8/13/18, jmg)

Blackness has been constructed and naturalized as criminal through the history of American social science

AND

what extent, the recourse to visual evidence could effectively reduce police brutality.

### 1NC Ontology

#### Antiblackness is a contingent ideological phenomena, not innate or inevitable. The history of slavery and the American Revolution confirms that antiblackness emerged as an ideological force in service of specific economic ends. That ideological force is not “passed down” but reinforced actively through depictions of race and racism as pre-social and external motors of history—ontological determinism of anti-blackness isn’t right, but it does entrench the social terrain that gives rise to scientific racism.

Fields and Fields 12—Karen Elise Fields, Ph.D., independent scholar, holds degrees from Harvard University, Brandeis University, and the Sorbonne, Barbara J. Fields, professor of American history at Columbia University, Ph.D. from Yale (“Slavery, Race, and Ideology in the USA,” Chapter 4 in *Racecraft*, Verso Books, pages 117-148)

Perhaps most intellectually debilitating of all is a third assumption: namely, that any

AND

ancestors did then, but of what we ourselves choose to do now.

#### Ontology is a terrible analytic for understanding anti-blackness—everything is a process of becoming shaped by contingent power relations that can and should be altered. We have to move past ontological theorizing to understand antiblackness.

Gordon, Philosophy Prof @ UCONN, 17

(Lewis, The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy and Race, pg. 296-298)

Should the analysis remain at white and black, the world would appear more closed

AND

is opposed to another manifestation of mauvaise foi: the spirit of seriousness.

#### Material action always precedes ontological questions even when they’re not perfect. Ontological approaches are circular and self-defeating.

David OWEN 2**,** Reader in Political Theory at the University of Southampton, “Reorienting International Relations: On Pragmatism, Pluralism and Practical Reasoning”, Millennium: Journal of International Studies, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2002. <http://mil.sagepub.com/cgi/reprint/31/3/653>

Commenting on the ‘philosophical turn’ in IR, Wæver remarks that ‘[a]

AND

to the kind of vicious circle that they can, collectively, generate.

#### Ontology will never be settled but we must act even under conditions of uncertainty

Molly **COCHRAN 99**, Assistant Professor of International Affairs at Georgia Institute for Technology, “Normative Theory in International Relations.” 1999. pg. 272

To conclude this chapter, while modernist and postmodernist debates continue, while we are

AND

pragmatic critique can be a useful ally to feminist and normative theorists generally.

### 1NC Engagement Good

#### Learning to seize power through debating institutional dynamics enables meaningful progress and internal link turns the aff

Spence, Associate professor of political science at John Hopkins University, 2015

(Lester Spence 15, Associate Professor of Political Science and Africana Studies at Johns Hopkins University, BA and a PhD in Political Science from the University of Michigan, “Knocking the Hustle: Against the Neoliberal Turn in Black Politics”, Punctum Books, pp140-147) JTD

All four examples have a few things in common. First all occurred at a

AND

we’d like, but there are far more of us than we think.

### Humanism

#### Humanism isn’t foundationally antiblack and we can redeem it

Inge Mathijssen 16, MA Candidate in Comparative Literary Studies, Utrecht University, “The Potential of the Human: Reimagining the Notion of the Human with Frantz Fanon, Slyvia Wynter, Edouard Glissant, and Octavia E. Butler,” February 2016, https://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/328482

As the protesters revolt against the fact that the lives of black human beings are

AND

with different ways of being human that foreground the relationality of human beings.

### 1NC Economy Good

**Capitalism is inevitable, and sustainable**

Schrager ‘20 [Allison; Winter 2020; Ph.D. in Economics from Columbia University, Senior Fellow at the Manhattan Institute; "Why Socialism Won't Work," https://foreignpolicy.com/2020/01/15/socialism-wont-work-capitalism-still-best/]

**WITH** INCREASINGLY UBIQUITOUS **IPHONES, internet, central air conditioning, flat-screen TVs**

**AND**

the gains flowed to the owners of capital first, causing social instability.

**We’re past tipping points---only tech solves---the AFF is dictatorship.**

Eric **Levitz 21**. Senior Writer at New York Magazine. MA Johns Hopkins. "We’ll Innovate Our Way Out of the Climate Crisis or Die Trying". Intelligencer. 5-17-2021. https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2021/05/climate-biden-green-tech-innovation.html

**Today’s best-case ecological scenario was a horror** story **just three decades ago**.

**AND**

**resources and political economy necessary to help the developing world save us all.**

**IF they do transition away from capitalism – causes War**

**Smith '19** [Noah; 4/5/19; Bloomberg Opinion columnist, former assistant professor of finance at Stony Brook University; "Dumping Capitalism Won’t Save the Planet," https://www.bloomberg.com/opinion/articles/2019-04-05/capitalism-is-more-likely-to-limit-climate-change-than-socialism]

**It has become fashionable** on social media and **in certain publications to argue that capitalism**

**AND**

**plans all involve technological improvement as a key feature.**

**from now.**

**empowers authoritarian populists---that turns every impact.**

Max **Koch 20**, Professor, School of Social Work, Lund University. Visiting Scholar/Guest Professor, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Glasgow University, Programa de Economía del Trabajo, Lund University, GESIS – Leibniz Institute of the Social Sciences, University of Edinburgh and Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies, Potsdam, "Structure, Action and Change: A Bourdieusian Perspective on the Preconditions for a Degrowth Transition," Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy, Vol. 16, Issue 1, 5-30-2020, T&F.

Hegemony, crisis, and transformational change

Just as the reversal into natural and

AND

down” policies of an active state (Hirvilammi 2020; Koch 2020).

#### Extinction first

Cummisky, 96

(David, professor of philosophy at Bates College, Kantian Consequentialism, pg. 145//shree)

We must not obscure the issue by characterizing this type of case as the sacrifice

AND

equal consideration suggests that one may have to sacrifice some to save many.

#### V2L inevitable but extinction ruins it

Kacou, 08

(Arrien Kacou, Cosmos and History: The Journal of Natural and Social Philosophy, Vol 4, No 1-2 (2008), “Why even mind, on the a priori matter of life”, 2008, <http://cosmosandhistory.org/index.php/journal/article/view/92/184> NL)

Furthermore, that manner of finding things good that is in pleasure can certainly not

AND

and desire. Perhaps, our inquiry should be a bit more complex.

#### Magnitude over probability—our limited securitization is good

Elshtain, 03

(Jean Bethke, Prof. Social and Pol. Ethics – U. Chicago, “Just War Against Terror: The Burden of American Power in a Violent World”, p. 46-48) \*gender and ableist language edited

IN THE IMMEDIATE AFTERMATH of September 11, I said to a friend, "

AND

This is Hobbes's famous, or infamous, war of all against all.